

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/575,140		LANDIS ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	KRISTIE D. SHINGLES		2444	

All Participants:

(1) KRISTIE D. SHINGLES, Examiner

(2) Richard Gregson, Atty.

Date of Interview: 15 December 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

1, 2, 6 and 15

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Kristie D. Shingles/
Examiner, Art Unit 2444

Status of Application: ALLOWED

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 9:30am

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: With Primary's approval, Examiner contacted Atty for authorization to amend the claims to place the application into condition for allowance. Examiner's amendment consisted of incorporating the limitations of claims 2 and 6 into independent claims 1 and 15. Atty authorized Examiner's amendment to the claims and the claims were amended accordingly. In compliance with MPEP, all hyperlinks were deleted from the Applicant's specification.